

**Comparison of muscle strength profiles in taekwondo and judo elite athletes**

Michał Górski<sup>1\*</sup>, Rafał Kubacki<sup>2</sup>, Krzysztof Buśko

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biomechanics, Institute of Sport – National Research Institute, Warsaw, Poland

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Physical Education and Sport, Wrocław University of Health and Sport Sciences, Wrocław, Poland

<sup>3</sup>Department of Biomedical Basis of Physical Culture, Faculty of Health Science and Physical Culture, Kazimierz Wielki University in Bydgoszcz, Bydgoszcz, Poland

\*Corresponding author: Michał Górski, Department of Biomechanics, Institute of Sport – National Research Institute, Warsaw, Poland, e-mail address: [michal.gorski@insp.waw.pl](mailto:michal.gorski@insp.waw.pl)

Submitted: 20<sup>th</sup> October 2024

Accepted: 27<sup>th</sup> November 2024

39 **Abstract**

40 **Purpose:** Sports training, of which muscle strength development is a part, should be aimed at  
41 optimally preparing athletes for competition. Differences in the demands of judo and  
42 taekwondo sports combat will lead to different results in developing the strength potential of  
43 athletes. The aim of this study was to assess the strength capabilities of athletes training  
44 taekwondo and judo.

45 **Methods:** The study included 14 taekwondo and 17 judo elite athletes. Measurements were  
46 taken of the maximum muscle torques developed under static conditions of 20 muscle groups  
47 responsible for flexion and extension of the limbs, at the shoulder, elbow, knee, hip joints and  
48 trunk flexion and extension. Based on the results, the topography of muscle torques was  
49 calculated as the percentage of the ratio of the muscle torques developed at a given joint to the  
50 sum of all the torques tested.

51 **Results:** Judo athletes developed significantly higher values of muscle torques than taekwondo  
52 athletes during flexion and extension of the upper limb joints and extension at the hip joint  
53 ( $p < 0.05$ ). Judo athletes achieved higher topography index values in flexion of the left shoulder  
54 joint and extension of both elbow and shoulder joints ( $p < 0.05$ ). Taekwondo athletes achieved  
55 higher topography index values in flexion of the knee and hip joints of the right and left lower  
56 limbs ( $p < 0.05$ ).

57 **Conclusions:** The calculation of muscle topography allowed us to assess the differences in the  
58 strength profiles of judo and taekwondo athletes and can provide additional information for  
59 evaluating the effects of strength training.

60 **Keywords:** MVC, joint torques, topography, combat sports, martial arts

61  
62

63 **Introduction**

64 Judo and taekwondo are combat sports included in the Olympic Games programme.  
65 Upon analysing the physiological demands placed on athletes practising these sports, certain  
66 similarities emerge. The physical effort required of athletes is intense and relatively short in  
67 duration, imposing the necessity for a high anaerobic capacity [2], [14]. High muscular strength  
68 and the ability to use it skilfully also appear to be crucial for success.

69 During taekwondo sport fighting, strikes performed with the lower limbs predominate [10].  
70 They account for the vast majority of all attack and defence techniques observed during sport  
71 fighting [27]. The ability to deliver powerful strikes is often identified as a trait of successful  
72 athletes [12], [13]. In judo, on the other hand, during a sport fight, rival opponents grapple,

73 perform throws, and the fight is conducted in both standing and ground positions [24]. Judo  
74 athletes are characterised by an above-average level of muscular strength [28]. This trait is  
75 developed already at an early stage of the sport career [19]. The proportional development of  
76 the strength of certain muscle groups may condition the technical-tactical solutions used during  
77 sports combat [29].

78 In order to objectively assess the strength potential available to athletes, measurements  
79 of maximum muscle torques developed under static conditions are often used [4], [31]. The  
80 method allows the assessment of strength capabilities and their changes occurring as a result of  
81 the training performed [8], [16]. The muscle topography or strength profile can be used to  
82 determine the proportion of muscle groups acting on individual joints to the sum of all measured  
83 muscle groups [5]. It is subject to modifications according to the training loads applied [3] - [4].  
84 The different demands placed on athletes by taekwondo and judo combat may result in different  
85 changes in the muscular topography of athletes training in these combat sports. However, there  
86 is little research comparing the muscular topography of taekwondo and judo athletes as  
87 determined by measurements of maximal muscular torques [4], [8].

88 The aim of this study was to assess the strength capabilities of athletes training in  
89 taekwondo and judo. The following hypotheses were verified during the study: 1) Judo athletes  
90 have a greater strength potential than taekwondo athletes. 2) The topography of muscle torques  
91 among judo athletes indicates that muscular strength of the upper limb joints has a greater  
92 contribution to the overall muscular strength, whereas, in the case of taekwondo athletes,  
93 muscular strength of the lower limbs has a greater contribution.

94

## 95 **Material and Methods**

### 96 **Participants**

97 Thirty-one elite male athletes participated in the study: 14 men training taekwondo and  
98 17 men training judo. The athletes tested were members of senior, youth or junior national  
99 teams. The study group included athletes who were multiple medallists of the country,  
100 international tournaments abroad and participants of the Olympic Games. At the time of entry  
101 into the study, the athletes were healthy, or at least 3 months after treatment for any injuries.  
102 The characteristics of the athletes are shown in Table 1. This study was conducted in accordance  
103 with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. The research was approved by the Research  
104 Ethics Committee at the <INSTITUTION NAME> (approval numbers: KEBN-16-20-MG and  
105 KEBN-17-32-KB). Prior to the study, all athletes were informed about the research purpose  
106 and test procedures as well as the possibility to discontinue participation in the study at any

107 time. Participants gave written consent to take part in the research.

108

109 Table 1. Anthropometric characteristics of the tested athletes (means  $\pm$  SD; 95%CI)

	TKD (n=14)	JUDO (n=17)	t	p	d
Age [years]	21.64 $\pm$ 4.07 (19.29 $\div$ 23.99)	23.29 $\pm$ 3.72 (21.38 $\div$ 25.21)	-1.18	0.248	0.43
Body mass [kg]	76.19 $\pm$ 10.87 (69.92 $\div$ 82.47)	88.41 $\pm$ 19.96 * (78.15 $\div$ 98.67)	-2.05	0.049	0.74
Body height [cm]	182.66 $\pm$ 7.08 (178.58 $\div$ 186.75)	180.49 $\pm$ 10.54 (175.08 $\div$ 185.91)	0.66	0.516	0.24
Training experience [years]	13.07 $\pm$ 3.47 (11.07 $\div$ 15.08)	14.53 $\pm$ 3.56 (12.70 $\div$ 16.36)	-1.15	0.260	0.41

110 Legend: TKD – taekwondo, \* – statistically significant difference,  $p < 0.05$

111

112

### 113 Measurement of muscle torques under static conditions

114 Measurement of the 20 muscle groups responsible for flexion and extension of the limbs,  
115 at the shoulder, elbow, knee and hip joints, as well as flexion and extension of the participant's  
116 trunk, was carried out at the two self made torque meter measurement stations: upper limbs was  
117 measured at SMS1 (<DEVICE MANUFACTURER, CITY, COUNTRY>) and lower limbs and  
118 trunk was measured at SMS2(<DEVICE MANUFACTURER, CITY, COUNTRY>) [7], [16].  
119 The total error associated with the measurement of maximum muscle torques on the stands used  
120 does not exceed 4% [3], [17]. Torques of the muscle groups flexing and extending the limb at  
121 the elbow joint were measured in the sitting position. The arm was rested on a support and the  
122 angle at the shoulder joint was 90 degrees. The forearm was positioned perpendicular to the  
123 shoulder. The torso was supported and stabilised. The muscle torques flexing and extending the  
124 limb at the shoulder joint were measured in the sitting position. The angle at the shoulder joint  
125 during extension was 70 degrees and 50 degrees during flexion. The torso was adjacent to the  
126 stand and was stabilised by the participant's chest being pressed against the backrest by the  
127 qualified person performing the measurement. Muscle torques flexing and extending the limb  
128 at the knee joint and flexing and extending the trunk were tested in the sitting position as well.  
129 The angles at the hip and knee joints were 90 degrees. The participant was stabilised at the level  
130 of the anterior superior iliac spines and during knee flexion at the distal part of the thigh. The  
131 upper limbs rested on the chest. When the hip extensor muscles were tested, the participant was  
132 lying face down. During the hip flexor muscles test, the participant was in the supine position.  
133 The angle at the hip joint was 90 degrees. The subject stabilised the trunk by holding the stance  
134 with the hands. The maximum extension of the limb at the elbow, knee and hip joints was

135 defined as 0 degrees. For the shoulder joint, the position of the limb along the trunk was 0  
136 degrees. The position of the trunk in the supine position was also 0 degrees. The axis of rotation  
137 at the tested joint coincided with the axis of rotation of the torque lever. Both upper and lower  
138 limbs were measured, right and left limbs separately, always in flexion-extension order. The  
139 participants were instructed to perform the measurement with full commitment in order to  
140 develop the maximum torque value, and were given verbal encouragement during the effort by  
141 those performing the measurement. All torques values were analysed relative to the subjects'  
142 body weight.

143 On the basis of the results of measurements of the muscle groups responsible for flexion  
144 and extension of the limbs, at the shoulder and elbow joints, indices characterising the strength  
145 potential of the upper limbs were calculated as follows:

146 where:

$$147 \quad \text{RUE} = \text{REF} + \text{REE} + \text{RSF} + \text{RSE},$$

$$148 \quad \text{LUE} = \text{LEF} + \text{LEE} + \text{LSF} + \text{LSE},$$

149

150 RUE is the sum of right upper extremity, LUE is the sum of left upper extremity, REF is right  
151 elbow flexors, LEF is left elbow flexors, REE is right elbow extensors, LEE is left elbow  
152 extensors, RSF is right shoulder flexor, LSF is left shoulder flexors, RSE is right shoulder  
153 extensors, LSE is left shoulder extensors.

154

155 On the basis of the results of measurements of the muscle groups responsible for flexion and  
156 extension of the limbs, at the knee and hip joints, indices characterising the strength potential  
157 of the lower limbs were calculated as follows:

$$158 \quad \text{RLE} = \text{RKF} + \text{RKE} + \text{RHF} + \text{RHE},$$

$$159 \quad \text{LLE} = \text{LKF} + \text{LKE} + \text{LHF} + \text{LHE},$$

160 where:

161 RLE is right lower extremity, LLE is left lower extremity, RKF is right knee flexors, LKF is  
162 left knee flexors, RKE is right knee extensors, LKE is left knee extensors, RHF is right hip  
163 flexor, LHF is left hip flexors, RHE is right hip extensors, LHE is left hip extensors.

164

165 Knowing the values of the indices characterising the strength of the right and left upper and  
166 lower limbs separately, the indices for both upper and lower limbs were calculated as follows:

$$167 \quad \text{SUE} = \text{RUE} + \text{LUE},$$

$$168 \quad \text{SLE} = \text{RLE} + \text{LLE},$$

169 where:

170 SUE is the sum of upper extremity torques, SLE is the sum of lower extremity torques, RUE is  
171 the sum of right upper extremity, LUE is the sum of left upper extremity, RLE is right lower  
172 extremity, LLE is left lower extremity.

173

174 Based on the results of measurements of the muscle groups responsible for the trunk's flexion  
175 and extension, the index characterising the strength potential of the trunk muscles was  
176 calculated as follows:

$$177 \quad \quad \quad ST = TF + TE,$$

178

179 where:

180 ST is the sum of trunk muscle torques, TF is trunk flexors, TE is trunk extensors.

181

182 The overall level of muscular strength was expressed as the sum of the results of all  
183 measurements taken at the joints of the upper and lower limbs and flexing and extending the  
184 trunk:

$$185 \quad \quad \quad SUM = SUE + SLE + ST$$

186 where:

187 SUM is sum of all muscle torques, SUE is the sum of upper extremity torques, SLE is the sum  
188 of lower extremity torques, ST is the sum of trunk muscle torques.

189

### 190 **Determination of the topography of muscle torques**

191 The topography of the muscle torques developed at each joint was calculated as the  
192 percentage ratio of the torque developed at that joint to the sum of all 20 muscle torques. The  
193 calculation is described below using the flexors of the elbow joint of the right limb as an  
194 example:

$$195 \quad \quad \quad TREF = \frac{REF}{SUM} \cdot 100\%$$

196

197 TREF is the percentage topography of right elbow flexors, REF is right elbow flexor torque,  
198 SUM is the sum of all muscle torques.

### 199 **Statistical analysis**

200 Descriptive statistical analysis consisted of determining the maximum (MAX),  
201 minimum (MIN), mean (M), median (Me), standard deviation (SD), 95% confidence intervals

202 (95%CI) of the means and interquartile ranges (IQR) of the indicators studied. The normality  
 203 of the distribution of variables was verified using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Homogeneity of  
 204 variance was assessed using Levene's test. Student's t-test for independent groups was used to  
 205 analyse differences in the values of muscle torques developed by taekwondo and judo athletes.  
 206 The effect sizes of the comparisons were assessed using Cohen's d, classified as trivial ( $d \leq 0.2$ ),  
 207 small ( $0.2 < d \leq 0.5$ ) medium ( $0.5 < d \leq 0.8$ ) and large ( $d > 0.8$ ) [11]. The Mann-Whitney U test was  
 208 used to analyse differences in symmetry index values and muscle topography. Effect sizes were  
 209 assessed using  $\eta^2$ , classified as small ( $0.01 < \eta^2 \leq 0.06$ ), medium ( $0.06 < \eta^2 \leq 0.14$ ) and large  
 210 ( $\eta^2 > 0.14$ ) [11]. For the above analyses, a p-value of 0.05 was defined as significant. Analyses  
 211 were performed using STATISTICA v. 13.1. (TIBCO Software Inc., 2017, California, U.S) and  
 212 Microsoft Excel 2016 (Microsoft Corporation, 2016, Washington, U.S.).

213

## 214 Results

215 Table 2 shows the results of the measurements (means) of the maximum muscle torques  
 216 with respect to the body weight of taekwondo and judo athletes. The table includes indices  
 217 characterising the strength potential of the right and left upper and lower limbs.

218

219 Table 2. Mean values ( $\pm$ SD; 95%CI) of the relative muscle torques of the flexor and extensor  
 220 muscles, right and left upper and lower limbs at the elbow, shoulder, knee, hip, and the sum of  
 221 the upper and lower limb force moments of taekwondo and judo athletes.

	side	TKD	Judo	t	p	d
Elbow Flexion [N·m·kg <sup>-1</sup> ]	R	0.96 ± 0.12 (0.89 ÷ 1.02)	1.17 ± 0.13 * (1.11 ÷ 1.24)	-4.92	<0.001	1.78
	L	0.92 ± 0.11 (0.86 ÷ 0.98)	1.16 ± 0.12 * (1.10 ÷ 1.23)	-5.74	<0.001	2.07
Elbow Extension [N·m·kg <sup>-1</sup> ]	R	0.59 ± 0.08 (0.55 ÷ 0.64)	0.86 ± 0.11 * (0.81 ÷ 0.92)	-7.66	<0.001	2.76
	L	0.59 ± 0.09 (0.54 ÷ 0.64)	0.84 ± 0.09 * (0.79 ÷ 0.89)	-7.82	<0.001	2.82
Shoulder Flexion [N·m·kg <sup>-1</sup> ]	R	0.80 ± 0.18 (0.70 ÷ 0.90)	1.02 ± 0.14 * (0.95 ÷ 1.10)	-3.93	<0.001	1.42
	L	0.76 ± 0.15 (0.67 ÷ 0.84)	0.97 ± 0.12 * (0.91 ÷ 1.03)	-4.36	<0.001	1.57
Shoulder Extension [N·m·kg <sup>-1</sup> ]	R	0.92 ± 0.12 (0.85 ÷ 0.99)	1.27 ± 0.17 * (1.18 ÷ 1.35)	-6.37	<0.001	2.30
	L	0.95 ± 0.13 (0.88 ÷ 1.02)	1.23 ± 0.19 * (1.13 ÷ 1.33)	-4.77	<0.001	1.72
Knee Flexion	R	1.89 ± 0.31 (1.71 ÷ 2.07)	1.84 ± 0.29 (1.69 ÷ 1.99)	0.44	0.663	0.16



[N·m·kg <sup>-1</sup> ]	L	1.74 ± 0.30 (1.56 ÷ 1.91)	1.75 ± 0.34 (1.57 ÷ 1.92)	-0.08	0.937	0.03
Knee Extension	R	4.12 ± 0.90 (3.6 ÷ 4.65)	4.78 ± 0.88 (4.33 ÷ 5.23)	-2.04	0.051	0.74
[N·m·kg <sup>-1</sup> ]	L	3.95 ± 0.94 (3.41 ÷ 4.49)	4.63 ± 0.91 (4.16 ÷ 5.10)	-2.04	0.050	0.74
Hip Flexion	R	1.71 ± 0.25 (1.57 ÷ 1.86)	1.73 ± 0.25 (1.6 ÷ 1.85)	-0.14	0.893	0.05
[N·m·kg <sup>-1</sup> ]	L	1.68 ± 0.22 (1.55 ÷ 1.80)	1.62 ± 0.27 (1.49 ÷ 1.76)	0.59	0.559	0.21
Hip Extension	R	6.48 ± 1.21 (5.78 ÷ 7.17)	7.44 ± 1.18 * (6.83 ÷ 8.04)	-2.23	0.033	0.81
[N·m·kg <sup>-1</sup> ]	L	6.43 ± 1.39 (5.63 ÷ 7.23)	7.56 ± 1.28 * (6.90 ÷ 8.21)	-2.36	0.025	0.85
sum of upper extremity	R	3.27 ± 0.42 (3.02 ÷ 3.51)	4.33 ± 0.34 * (4.15 ÷ 4.50)	-7.75	<0.001	2.80
[N·m·kg <sup>-1</sup> ]	L	3.22 ± 0.36 (3.01 ÷ 3.43)	4.20 ± 0.38 * (4.01 ÷ 4.40)	-7.33	<0.001	2.65
sum of lower extremity	R	14.21 ± 2.30 (12.88 ÷ 15.54)	15.79 ± 2.09 (14.71 ÷ 16.86)	-2.00	0.055	0.72
[N·m·kg <sup>-1</sup> ]	L	13.79 ± 2.29 (12.47 ÷ 15.12)	15.56 ± 2.31 * (14.37 ÷ 16.75)	-2.13	0.042	0.77

222 Legend: R – right, L – left, TKD – taekwondo, \* - statistically significant difference p<0.05

223

224

225 Judo athletes developed significantly higher muscle torques than taekwondo athletes during  
 226 flexion and extension of the right and left upper limb at the elbow and shoulder joints and during  
 227 extension of the lower limb at the hip joint. Judo athletes outperformed taekwondo athletes in  
 228 the sum of torques developed at the measured joints of the right and left upper limb (p<0.05).  
 229 The effect size of the comparisons was found to be large. Judo athletes also achieved  
 230 significantly higher values in the sum of torques developed in the measured joints of the left  
 231 lower limb. The effect size of this comparison was medium.

232 Table 3 shows the results of the measurements (means) of the maximum muscle torques of the  
 233 trunk flexor and extensor muscles with respect to the body weight of taekwondo and judo  
 234 athletes. The table includes the values of the indices characterising the force potential of the  
 235 muscles of the trunk, upper and lower limbs and the sum of all measured muscle torques.

236

237 Table 3. Mean values (±SD; 95%CI) of the relative torso flexor and extensor muscle torques  
 238 and the sum of the torso, upper limb, lower limb and all measured muscle torques of taekwondo  
 239 and judo athletes.



	TKD	JUDO	t	p	d
trunk flexion [N·m·kg <sup>-1</sup> ]	2.73 ± 0.37 (2.52 ÷ 2.94)	2.85 ± 0.46 (2.62 ÷ 3.09)	-0.82	0.422	0.29
trunk extension [N·m·kg <sup>-1</sup> ]	7.68 ± 1.28 (6.94 ÷ 8.42)	9.10 ± 1.49 * (8.33 ÷ 9.87)	-2.80	0.009	1.01
sum of trunk muscles torques [N·m·kg <sup>-1</sup> ]	10.41 ± 1.57 (9.51 ÷ 11.32)	11.95 ± 1.82 * (11.02 ÷ 12.89)	-2.49	0.019	0.90
sum of upper extremities [N·m·kg <sup>-1</sup> ]	6.48 ± 0.77 (6.04 ÷ 6.93)	8.53 ± 0.70 * (8.17 ÷ 8.89)	-7.78	<0.001	2.81
sum of lower extremities [N·m·kg <sup>-1</sup> ]	28.00 ± 4.55 (25.37 ÷ 30.62)	31.35 ± 4.31* (29.13 ÷ 33.56)	-2.10	0.044	0.76
sum of all muscle torques [N·m·kg <sup>-1</sup> ]	44.89 ± 6.28 (41.27 ÷ 48.52)	51.83 ± 5.75 * (48.88 ÷ 54.79)	-3.21	0.003	1.16

240 Legend: TKD – taekwondo, \* - statistically significant difference  $p < 0.05$

241

242 Judo athletes developed significantly higher values of muscle torques than taekwondo athletes  
243 during trunk extension. The sum of the torque values developed at the measured upper limb,  
244 lower limb and trunk joints and the sum of the values of all measured torques in judo athletes  
245 were significantly higher than in taekwondo athletes. The effect sizes of the comparisons were  
246 found to be large, with the exception of the comparison of the sum of lower limb joint moments,  
247 which was found to be medium.

248 Tables 4 and 5 contain values (medians) characterising the topography of muscle torques among  
249 taekwondo and judo athletes.

250

251 Table 4. Topography (Me ± IQR; MIN÷MAX) of muscle torques of the flexor and extensor  
252 muscles of the right and left limb at the elbow, shoulder, knee, hip joint and topography of the  
253 sum of upper and lower limb torques of taekwondo and judo athletes.

	side	TKD	JUDO	U	Z	p	$\eta^2$
elbow flexion [%]	R	2.18 ± 0.37 (1.69 ÷ 2.69)	2.33 ± 0.28 (1.78 ÷ 2.67)	82	-1.45	0.147	0.26
	L	2.07 ± 0.43 (1.59 ÷ 2.62)	2.27 ± 0.40 (1.81 ÷ 2.59)	79	-1.57	0.117	0.28
elbow extension [%]	R	1.35 ± 0.32 (1.01 ÷ 1.67)	1.62 ± 0.20 * (1.36 ÷ 2.42)	27	-3.63	<0.001	0.65
	L	1.33 ± 0.37 (1.06 ÷ 1.67)	1.58 ± 0.22 * (1.37 ÷ 1.97)	32	-3.43	0.001	0.62
shoulder flexion [%]	R	1.76 ± 0.63 (1.41 ÷ 2.24)	2.03 ± 0.32 (1.33 ÷ 2.47)	78	-1.61	0.108	0.29
	L	1.63 ± 0.30 (1.36 ÷ 2.08)	1.85 ± 0.39 * (1.31 ÷ 2.68)	65	-2.12	0.034	0.38
shoulder extension	R	2.22 ± 0.64 (1.51 ÷ 2.63)	2.41 ± 0.39 * (1.91 ÷ 3.34)	58	-2.40	0.016	0.43

[%]	L	2.16 ± 0.45 (1.54 ÷ 2.59)	2.32 ± 0.33 * (1.98 ÷ 2.78)	69	-1.96	0.049	0.35
knee flexion [%]	R	4.14 ± 1.00 * (3.48 ÷ 5.79)	3.43 ± 0.70 (2.50 ÷ 4.37)	49	2.76	0.006	0.50
	L	3.83 ± 1.06 * (3.15 ÷ 5.04)	3.37 ± 0.71 (2.31 ÷ 4.58)	64	2.16	0.031	0.39
knee extension [%]	R	9.37 ± 1.90 (6.78 ÷ 10.79)	9.02 ± 1.28 (5.77 ÷ 10.86)	117	0.06	0.953	0.01
	L	8.69 ± 1.72 (6.69 ÷ 12.38)	9.31 ± 1.65 (7.03 ÷ 10.49)	103	-0.62	0.538	0.11
hip flexion [%]	R	3.77 ± 0.44 * (3.57 ÷ 4.15)	3.50 ± 0.66 (2.24 ÷ 3.93)	40	3.12	0.002	0.56
	L	3.76 ± 0.41 * (3.35 ÷ 4.23)	3.13 ± 0.43 (2.34 ÷ 3.70)	23	3.79	<0.001	0.68
hip extension [%]	R	14.95 ± 2.44 (11.95 ÷ 15.97)	14.37 ± 1.14 (11.45 ÷ 16.20)	110	0.34	0.736	0.06
	L	13.80 ± 3.43 (11.05 ÷ 17.35)	14.64 ± 1.89 (11.76 ÷ 17.21)	109	-0.38	0.706	0.07
sum of upper extremity [%]	R	7.33 ± 1.26 (5.87 ÷ 8.70)	8.30 ± 0.86 * (7.48 ÷ 10.46)	46	-2.88	0.004	0.52
	L	7.44 ± 0.66 (5.78 ÷ 8.72)	8.05 ± 0.65 * (6.83 ÷ 9.93)	40	-3.12	0.002	0.56
sum of lower extremity [%]	R	31.44 ± 1.76 (29.86 ÷ 34.04)	30.45 ± 0.90 * (27.16 ÷ 32.88)	69	1.96	0.049	0.35
	L	30.86 ± 2.01 (28.62 ÷ 33.13)	29.98 ± 2.50 (25.62 ÷ 33.38)	94	0.97	0.331	0.17

254 Legend: R – right, L – left, TKD – taekwondo, \* – statistically significant difference,  $p < 0.05$   
255

256 Judo athletes achieved higher topography index values compared to taekwondo athletes for  
257 muscles flexing the shoulder joint of the left limb and extending the shoulder joint of the right  
258 and left limbs. Also, in the case of muscle groups whose function is extension of the right and  
259 left limbs at the elbow joint, the topography values in the judo group exceeded those of the  
260 taekwondo athletes. The proportion of the sum of the values of the muscle torques of the  
261 measured joints of the right and left upper limb in the sum of all measurements taken was  
262 significantly higher in the male judo competitors. Taekwondo athletes, on the other hand, had  
263 higher values of muscle topography indices for muscle groups flexing the knee and hip joints  
264 of both limbs. The ratio of the sum of the values of the muscle torques developed in the studied  
265 joints of the right lower limb to the values of the sum of all measured joints was higher in  
266 taekwondo athletes. The effect sizes of the comparisons were found to be large.

267

268 Table 5. Topography (Me ± IQR; MIN÷MAX) of torso flexor and extensor muscle torques and  
269 topography of the sum of torso and upper and lower limb torques of taekwondo and judo

270 athletes.

	TKD	JUDO	U	Z	p	$\eta^2$
trunk flexion [%]	6.10 ± 0.41 * (5.29 ÷ 6.65)	5.53 ± 0.98 (4.28 ÷ 8.14)	52	2.64	0.008	0.22
trunk extension [%]	17.24 ± 1.92 (13.45 ÷ 20.14)	18.25 ± 3.30 (14.13 ÷ 21.35)	101	-0.69	0.487	0.02
sum of trunk muscles [%]	23.39 ± 1.83 (19.42 ÷ 26.39)	24.01 ± 3.47 (18.58 ÷ 27.24)	110	-0.34	0.736	0.004
sum of upper extremity [%]	14.74 ± 1.57 (11.70 ÷ 17.41)	16.39 ± 1.57 * (14.42 ÷ 20.40)	42	-3.04	0.002	0.30
sum of lower extremity [%]	61.70 ± 3.65 * (58.91 ÷ 65.96)	59.84 ± 5.62 (52.92 ÷ 65.64)	64	2.16	0.031	0.15

271 Legend: TKD – taekwondo, \* – statistically significant difference,  $p < 0.05$

272

273 The contribution of trunk flexor muscle strength to the overall muscle strength of taekwondo  
274 athletes was greater than that of judo athletes. There was a statistically significant difference in  
275 muscle topography indicating that taekwondo athletes have higher ratios for the lower limbs  
276 and judo athletes have higher ratios for the upper limbs.

277

## 278 Discussion

279 Motor preparation, one element of which is increasing the strength potential of athletes,  
280 is an important part of sports training in both taekwondo and judo. In this study, the values of  
281 muscle torques developed by muscle groups acting on the joints of the upper limbs, lower limbs  
282 and trunk of taekwondo and judo athletes were analysed.

283 One of the research hypotheses concerned the strength potential available to the athletes  
284 of the combat sports studied. The results obtained partially confirm the first hypothesis. It is  
285 supported by the fact that the sum of muscle torques developed in all the examined joints of the  
286 upper limbs, lower limbs and trunk was higher in judo athletes. Judo athletes, compared to  
287 taekwondo athletes, obtained significantly higher values in measurements of muscle torques  
288 during flexion and extension of the right and left upper limbs at the elbow and shoulder joints.  
289 The values of the sums of the muscle torques of the judo athletes developed in the studied right  
290 and left upper limb joints and their sums exceeded the results of the taekwondo athletes. In  
291 addition, judo athletes obtained better results during hip extension of the right and left limbs  
292 and trunk. However, the research hypothesis was not fully confirmed, as there were no  
293 significant differences in the measurements of right and left lower limb flexion and extension  
294 at the knee joints or trunk flexion and hip flexion.

295 Judo athletes, during a sport fight, perform standing attacks, grapple and hold their  
296 opponent, execute throws or engage in ground grappling, and the time spent on individual

297 technical and tactical elements during fights may vary depending on the sport level or the age  
298 of the rivals [23]-[24]. Strength preparation is one of the key elements of judo training. A high  
299 level of static strength allows athletes to gain an advantage in elements such as grappling and  
300 holding an opponent [28]. We noted that judo athletes, who use their upper limbs far more  
301 frequently during combat, outperform taekwondo athletes in their ability to develop muscle  
302 torques under static conditions at the elbow and shoulder joints. In our study, we also noted that  
303 judo athletes, compared to taekwondo athletes, have significantly higher values of muscle  
304 forces developed by the hip and trunk extensor muscle groups. This may be due to the fact that  
305 antigravity muscles, e.g. the extensors of the trunk and hip and knee joints, are highly involved  
306 in the execution of throws during judo combat [19]. Buško and Nowak [8] observed that the  
307 specialised training of highly trained judo athletes leads to an increase in the strength potential  
308 of the lower limbs and the overall level of muscle strength, while decreasing the level of upper  
309 limb and trunk strength. Strength preparation training in combat sports should be balanced.  
310 Therefore, the muscle groups that flex these joints are no less important. The correct proportions  
311 between these antagonistically working muscle groups and their coordinated activation will  
312 determine the performance of attack techniques during a judo match [29].

313 Strength training in judo begins at an early stage. According to Lech et al. [19], strength  
314 training applied during the preparation of adult athletes is already applied to athletes training in  
315 younger age categories – junior or cadet. The authors claim that early specialisation results in  
316 young athletes having a high level of muscular strength, not different to athletes in the senior  
317 category, and this may interfere with naturally occurring biological mechanisms of physical  
318 development. An assessment of the strength capabilities of taekwondo athletes can be found in  
319 a number of papers. Pędzich et al. [26], compared the values developed by Olympic taekwondo  
320 athletes' muscle torques to those obtained by athletes of the non-Olympic version of this combat  
321 sport and boxers. Olympic taekwondo athletes were found to have greater lower limb strength,  
322 primarily of the hip joint. In contrast, in the study by Buško [4], boxers and taekwondo athletes  
323 exhibited similar lower limb strength levels.

324 Several studies have compared the generated muscle torques in static conditions by judo  
325 and taekwondo athletes. However, the results of the comparisons were inconclusive. In the  
326 study by Buško et al. [5], judo athletes outperformed taekwondo athletes in the strength  
327 capabilities developed at all joints of the upper and lower limbs and trunk examined. This partly  
328 agrees with the results of our study, where judo athletes developed higher values of muscle  
329 torques with the exception of the knee flexors and extensors and hip and trunk flexors. In  
330 contrast, similar strength potentials of judo and taekwondo athletes in these joints were reported

331 subsequently by Buško [4]. Differences between the results of different authors may be due to  
332 the adoption of different positions during the measurement of individual muscle groups [7].  
333 Differences in the training process may also contribute to the occurrence of differences between  
334 the study groups [26].

335 The rules of taekwondo matches are constantly being modified [25]. Over the years, the  
336 dimensions of the competition area have changed, a protector scoring system based on an  
337 electronic impact force measurement system has been introduced, and the number of points  
338 awarded for accurate punches and kicks has changed [10], [21]. The changes have modified the  
339 technical and tactical solutions used by competing athletes [18], [27]. Among other reasons, in  
340 order to score more points, strikes during taekwondo fights are mostly delivered with the lower  
341 limbs [22], [27]. In order for a kick delivered during a taekwondo fight to earn points  
342 effectively, it must be imparted with sufficient impact force. Determining the magnitude of  
343 impact forces delivered is a complex task. To date, a number of laboratory methods have been  
344 developed to measure taekwondo kicks impact forces [1], [9], [20]. During a fight, the  
345 evaluation of the impact force of the strike is performed by an electronic protector [10], [21]-  
346 [22]. Minimum kick forces are set separately for each weight category. Side and roundhouse  
347 kicks are the kicks most commonly used by athletes during taekwondo combat [10]. There is a  
348 correlation between the strength of the side kicks and lean body mass, of which muscle tissue  
349 is a major component [15]. Buško and Nikolaidis [7] reported that the strength of the  
350 roundhouse kicks is correlated with the results of muscle torques tested under static conditions.  
351 To achieve high impact forces of the strike, taekwondo athletes should possess a high strength  
352 potential.

353 The second hypothesis tested concerned muscle topography, defined as the proportion  
354 of the results of muscle torques at individual joints to the sum of all measurements taken. In our  
355 study, this hypothesis was also only partially confirmed. In our results, significant differences  
356 were observed in the muscle topography of athletes training in taekwondo and judo. Analysing  
357 the results of the lower limb torques, the muscle topography of the taekwondo athletes was  
358 superior to that of the judo athletes in the case of flexion of the knee and hip joints and the sum  
359 of the torques developed at the joints of the right lower limb and both lower limbs combined.  
360 These are the muscle groups that are activated during the performance of taekwondo kicks [30].  
361 It is also consistent with the hypothesis that judo athletes performed better than taekwondo  
362 athletes in elbow extension of both upper limbs, left shoulder joint flexion and right and left  
363 shoulder joints extension. Since the proportion of the muscle torques developed by the extensors  
364 of the knee and hip joints of both lower limbs, and the flexors of the elbow joint in the case of

365 the upper limbs, compared to the sum of the values of the torques developed in all measured  
366 joints, did not differ significantly between the athletes of the compared combat sports, the  
367 research hypothesis cannot be fully confirmed. The results of our work illustrating the  
368 differences in the muscular topography of judo and taekwondo athletes differ from the work of  
369 Buško [4], who did not find statistically significant differences in the distribution of muscle  
370 torques across the individual joints of the upper limbs, lower limbs and trunk.

371 In Olympic taekwondo, striking with the upper limbs is only allowed towards the torso  
372 of the opponent. Restrictions imposed by the rules result in athletes using the upper limbs  
373 mainly in defence – blocking and protecting themselves from the opponent's strikes. The upper  
374 limbs are also used in fighting at a short distance from the opponent in order to execute a punch  
375 combined with pushing the opponent away in order to increase the distance from the target to  
376 perform a kick. Judo fighters, on the other hand, use their upper limbs throughout almost the  
377 entire fight. They are used when holding and pushing the opponent away, throwing, locking the  
378 opponent's joints and fighting in ground positions. Differences in the use of the upper limbs  
379 during a fight contribute to the differences in muscle topography found in our work. The use of  
380 the lower limbs during combat is also different. In judo, the leg muscles are strongly used  
381 together with the back extensors during throws and ground fighting. In taekwondo, the muscles  
382 of the lower limbs are involved during kicking, which are the main way to gain a scoring  
383 advantage and win the fight. In our opinion, the specialised training in each of the combat sports  
384 studied, which aims to prepare the athlete for a sports competition that differs between the two  
385 disciplines, is the main moderator of muscle topography. The strength training of taekwondo is  
386 mainly aimed at developing lower limb muscle strength, while judo training is aimed at  
387 increasing the strength capabilities of upper limb muscles and antigravity muscles such as hip  
388 extensors and trunk extensors.

## 389 **Conclusions**

390 Differences in the strength profiles of judo and taekwondo athletes were highlighted by  
391 calculating muscle topography. These differences are the result of the selection of training loads  
392 specific to each combat sport. Muscle topography, together with values of maximum muscle  
393 torques, is a good tool for characterising the strength profiles of combat sports athletes.  
394 Observations of changes in muscle topography can provide additional information for  
395 evaluating the effects of strength training. The values of muscle torques developed in static  
396 conditions correspond to the maximum strength capabilities of the athletes. Based on the results  
397 of measurements, it is possible to select training loads individually for each athlete, optimally

398 for his needs and capabilities. Disbalance of antagonistically working muscle groups can be an  
399 indicator of incorrect development of combat sports athletes and is considered as a one of the  
400 predictors of musculoskeletal injuries. Tracking strength potential changes with muscle  
401 topography can be useful in the selection process of elite athletes or talent identification of  
402 young athletes.

403

#### 404 **References**

- 405 1. Akbaş A., Brachman A., Gzik B., Bacik, B., The objective assessment of striking force  
406 in combat sports using sport-specific measurement devices – a review. *Arch Budo*, 2021, 17:  
407 205–216.
- 408 2. Bridge C. A., Ferreira Da Silva Santos J., Chaabène H., Pieter W., Franchini E. Physical  
409 and physiological profiles of Taekwondo athletes. *Sports Med*, 2014, 44(6): 713–733,  
410 DOI:10.1007/s40279-014-0159-9
- 411 3. Buško K. Training-induced changes in the topography of muscle torques and maximal  
412 muscle torques in basketball players. *Biol Sport*, 2012, 29(1): 77–83,  
413 DOI:10.5604/20831862.984835
- 414 4. Buško K. Comparison of Muscle Strength in Male Combat Sport Athletes. *Polish*  
415 *Journal of Sport and Tourism*, 2016, 23(4): 186–189, DOI:10.1515/pjst-2016-0024
- 416 5. Buško K., Gajewski J., Mazur-Różycka J., Michalski R., Łach P. Strength profile in  
417 young male athletes from different sports. *Biomed Hum Kinet*, 2013, 5(1): 77–83,  
418 DOI:10.2478/bhk-2013-0012
- 419 6. Buško K., Górski M., Nikolaidis P. T., Mazur-Różycka J., Łach P., Staniak, Z.,  
420 Gajewski J. Leg strength and power in Polish striker soccer players. *Acta Bioeng Biomech*,  
421 2018, 20(2): 109–116, DOI 10.5277/ABB-01066-2017-02
- 422 7. Buško K., Nikolaidis P. T. Biomechanical characteristics of Taekwondo athletes: Kicks  
423 and punches vs. Laboratory tests. *Biomed Hum Kinet*, 2018, 10(1): 81–88, DOI 10.1515/bhk-  
424 2018-0013
- 425 8. Buško K., Nowak, A. Changes of maximal muscle torque and maximal power output of  
426 lower extremities in male judoists during training. *Hum Mov*, 2008, 9(2): 111–115,  
427 DOI:10.2478/v10038-008-0013-8
- 428 9. Buško K., Staniak Z., Łach P., Mazur-Różycka J., Michalski R., Górski, M. Comparison  
429 of two boxing training simulators. *Biomed Hum Kinet*, 2014, 6(1): 135–141,  
430 DOI:10.2478/bhk-2014-0022



- 431 10. Cho E. H., Eom H. J., Jang S. Y. Comparison of patterns of skill actions between analog  
432 and electronic protectors in Taekwondo: A log-linear analysis. *International Int. J. Environ.*  
433 *Res. Public Health*, 2020, 17(11), DOI:10.3390/ijerph17113927
- 434 11. Cohen J. *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences*. Routledge, 2013.
- 435 12. Estevan I., Alvarez O., Falco C., Molina-García J., Castillo I. Impact force and time  
436 analysis influenced by execution distance in a roundhouse kick to the head in taekwondo. *The*  
437 *J Strength Cond Res*, 2011, 25(10): 2851–2856.
- 438 13. Falco C., Alvarez O., Castillo I., Estevan I., Martos J., Mugarra F., Iradi A. Influence of  
439 the distance in a roundhouse kick's execution time and impact force in Taekwondo. *J Biomech*,  
440 2009, 42(3): 242–248, DOI:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2008.10.041
- 441 14. Franchini E., Del Vecchio F. B., Matsushigue K. A., Artioli, G. G. Physiological profiles  
442 of elite judo athletes. *Sports Med*, 2011, 41(2): 147–166, DOI:10.2165/11538580-000000000-  
443 00000
- 444 15. Górski M., Orysiak, J. Differences between anthropometric indicators and the impact  
445 force of taekwondo kicks performed with the dominant and non-dominant limb. *Biomed Hum*  
446 *Kinet*, 2019, 11(1): 193–197, DOI: 10.2478/bhk-2019-0027
- 447 16. Górski M., Starczewski M., Pastuszak A., Mazur-Rózycka J., Gajewski J., Buśko K.  
448 Changes of strength and maximum power of lower extremities in adolescent handball players  
449 during a two-year training cycle. *J Hum Kinet*, 2018, 63(1): 95–103. DOI:10.2478/hukin-2018-  
450 0010
- 451 17. Jaszczuk J., Buczek M., Karpilowski M., Nosarzewski Z., Wit A., Witkowski M. Set-  
452 up force measurements in static condition. *Biol Sport*, 1987, 4(1): 41–55.
- 453 18. Kruszewski A., Kuźmicki S., Podchul A., Kruszewski M. Effect of changes in the sports  
454 regulations on the fight of taekwondo female players on the example of Beijing Olympic  
455 Tournaments 2008 and London 2012. *Journal of Combat Sports and Martial Arts*, 2014, 5(2):  
456 97–100, DOI:10.5604/20815735.1141983
- 457 19. Lech G., Chwała W., Ambrozy T., Sterkowicz S. Muscle torque and its relation to  
458 technique, tactics, sports level and age group in judo contestants. *J Hum Kinet*, 2015, 45(1):  
459 167–175. DOI:10.1515/hukin-2015-0017
- 460 20. Lenetsky S., Uthoff A., Coyne J., Cronin J. A Review of Striking Force in Full-Contact  
461 Combat Sport Athletes: Methods of Assessment. *Strength Cond J*, 2022, 44(1): 71–83,  
462 DOI:10.1519/SSC.0000000000000643
- 463 21. Márquez J. J., López-Gullón J. M., Menescardi C., Falcó C. Comparison between the  
464 KPNP and Daedo Protection Scoring Systems through a Technical-Tactical Analysis of Elite

465 Taekwondo Athletes. *Sustainability*, 2022, 14(4): DOI:10.3390/su14042111

466 22. Menescardi C., Estevan I., Ros C., Hernández-Mendo A., Falco C. Bivariate analysis of  
467 taekwondo actions: The effectiveness of techniques and tactics in an Olympic taekwondo  
468 championship. *J Hum Sport Exerc*, 2020, 16(1): 1–211, DOI:10.14198/jhse.2021.161.18

469 23. Miarka B., Cury R., Julianetti R., Battazza R., Julio U. F., Calmet M., Franchini E. A  
470 comparison of time-motion and technical-tactical variables between age groups of female judo  
471 matches. *J Sport Sci*, 2014, 32(16): 1529–1538, DOI:10.1080/02640414.2014.903335

472 24. Miarka B., Panissa V. L. G., Julio U. F., Del Vecchio F. B., Calmet M., Franchini E. A  
473 comparison of time-motion performance between age groups in judo matches. *J Sport Sci*, 2012,  
474 30(9): 899–905, DOI:10.1080/02640414.2012.679675

475 25. Moenig U. Rule and equipment modification issues in World Taekwondo Federation  
476 (WTF) competition. *Ido Mov*, 2015, 15(4): 3–12. DOI:10.14589/ido.15.4.2

477 26. Pedzich W., Mastalerz A., Sadowski J. Estimation of muscle torque in various combat  
478 sports. *Acta Bioeng Biomech*, 2012, 14(4): 107–112, DOI:10.5277/abb120412

479 27. Sledziewski D., Loniewski M., Kuder A., da Silva R. A. D., Pedrosa G. F., Couto B. P.,  
480 Szmuchrowski L. A. Fighting profiles in men's taekwondo competition in the under 68 kg  
481 category at the Olympic Games in Beijing (2008) and London (2012) - case studies. *Arch Budo*  
482 *Sci Martial Arts Extrem*, 2015, 11(2008): 1–9.

483 28. Sterkowicz S., Lech G., Chwała W., Ambroży T., Jaworski J., Kłys A. Muscle strength  
484 in young judo contestants vs. untrained subjects. *Arch Budo*, 2011, 7(3): 179–184.

485 29. Sterkowicz S., Lech G., Sterkowicz-Przybycień K., Chwała W., Ambroży T., Pałka T.  
486 Relationship of maximal isometric torque produced in flexors and extensors rate to technique  
487 by judo athletes. *Acta Bioeng Biomech*, 2018, 20(2): 65–71, DOI:10.5277/ABB-01100-2018-  
488 02

489 30. Thibordee S., Prasartwuth O. Effectiveness of roundhouse kick in elite Taekwondo  
490 athletes. *J Electromyogr Kines*, 2014, 24(3): 353–358, DOI:10.1016/j.jelekin.2014.02.002

491 31. Wietrzyński M., Mazur-Różycka J., Gajewski J., Michalski R., Różycki S., Buśko K.  
492 The assessment of muscle strength symmetry in kayakers and canoeists. *Biomed Hum Kinet*,  
493 2014, 5(1): 65–71, DOI:10.2478/bhk-2013-0010

494